Experiments [1, 6] show that transition to turbulence can start at Reynolds numbers lower than it is predicted by the linear stability analysis [8] – the subcritical transition to turbulence. To give a possible qualitative explanation of these observations we suggest that the onset of subcritical instability can be related to failure of the fluid viscosity: friction between fluid layers drops with the increase of the velocity gradient. To describe the drop of friction theoretically we relax the assumption of the stability of the fluid material and introduce a constant of the fluid strength. Particularly, we enhance the Navier–Stokes model with a failure description by introducing the fluid strength in the constitutive equation for the viscous stress. The classical model is obtained from the enhanced one when the strength goes to infinity. We use the modified Navier–Stokes model to analyze the Couette flow between two parallel plates and find that the lateral perturbations can destabilize the flow and the critical Reynolds number is proportional to the fluid strength. The latter means that the classical Navier–Stokes model of a stable material with the infinite strength does not capture the subcritical transition to turbulence while the modified model does.

1. Introduction

Experiments [5, 1, 6] show that transition to turbulence can start at Reynolds numbers lower than it is predicted by the linear stability analysis [8] – the subcritical transition to turbulence. To give a possible qualitative explanation of these observations we suggest that the onset of subcritical instability can be related to failure of the fluid viscosity: friction between fluid layers drops with the increase of the velocity gradient. To describe the drop of friction theoretically we relax the assumption of the stability of the fluid material and introduce a constant of the fluid strength. Particularly, we enhance the Navier–Stokes model with a failure description by introducing the fluid strength in the constitutive equation for the viscous stress. The classical model is obtained from the enhanced one when the strength goes to infinity. We use the modified Navier–Stokes model to analyze the Couette flow between two parallel plates and find that the lateral perturbations can destabilize the flow and the critical Reynolds number is proportional to the fluid strength. The latter means that the classical Navier–Stokes model of a stable material with the infinite strength does not capture the subcritical transition to turbulence while the modified model does.

2. Constitutive law for viscous stress

Momentum balance has the following form in the absence of body forces

\[ \rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \rho (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} = \text{div} \ \sigma, \]
where \( \rho \) is a constant mass density; \( \mathbf{v} \) is a particle velocity; \( t \) is time; and \( \mathbf{\sigma} \) is the stress tensor.

In the case of incompressible fluid the stress can be specified as follows:

\[
\mathbf{\sigma} = -\rho \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\tau},
\]

\[
\text{div} \mathbf{v} = 0,
\]

where \( \rho \) is the Lagrange multiplier enforcing the incompressibility condition (3); \( \mathbf{1} \) is the second-order identity tensor; and \( \mathbf{\tau} \) is the so-called viscous stress.

Traditionally, the constitutive model for the viscous stress in Newtonian fluids is set as follows:

\[
\mathbf{\tau} = 2\eta \mathbf{D},
\]

where \( \eta > 0 \) is a viscosity coefficient and

\[
\mathbf{D} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \mathbf{v} + \nabla \mathbf{v}^T)
\]

is a symmetric part of the velocity gradient.

Substituting (2), (4), (5) in (1) we have the Navier–Stokes equations, which should be completed with boundary/initial conditions for velocities in order to set an initial-boundary-value problem (IBVP).

Instead of (4), however, we will use the following constitutive model enforcing failure of viscous/frictional bonds

\[
\mathbf{\tau} = 2\eta \mathbf{D} \exp \left( \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\phi^2} \right),
\]

\[
\varepsilon^2 = \mathbf{D} : \mathbf{D} \equiv \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}^T),
\]

where \( \varepsilon > 0 \) is a scalar measure of the velocity gradient – the ‘equivalent’ velocity gradient and \( \phi > 0 \) is a constant of fluid strength, i.e. the maximum velocity gradient that preserves friction between the adjacent fluid layers.

There are two main modes for (6)

\[
\mathbf{\tau} = 2\eta \mathbf{D} \quad \text{when} \quad \varepsilon \ll \phi,
\]

\[
\mathbf{\tau} = 0 \quad \text{when} \quad \varepsilon \gg \phi.
\]

The first mode, (8), corresponds to the classical Navier–Stokes viscosity with the full internal friction while the second mode, (6.9), corresponds to the loss of viscosity or internal friction. These two modes reflect upon Landau’s remark that “…for the large eddies which are the basis of any turbulent flow, the viscosity is unimportant” [3]. Section 33: “Fully developed turbulence”.

In the case of the shear flow, \( \mathbf{D} = D_{12}(\mathbf{e}_1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_2 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1) \) and \( \mathbf{\tau} = \tau_{12} (\mathbf{e}_1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_2 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1) \), the constitutive law can be presented graphically – Fig. 1 – for example.

At points \( D_{12}/\phi = \pm 1/2 \) the viscous strength is reached: \( \tau_{\text{max}}/\eta\phi = \pm \exp(-1/2) \). After these points the decline of viscosity starts. The classical Navier–Stokes theory is obtained from the modified constitutive law when the fluid strength goes to infinity: \( \phi \to \infty \).

It is worth emphasizing that the viscosity thinning [4] takes place in the vicinity of the limit point when the fluid strength is approaching. This phenomenon is completely minor and it is more a result of our analytical exponential expression for the constitutive law rather than a physical phenomenon. The crucial and novel idea is the limit point in Fig. 1 which presents the viscosity failure or the fluid strength where the frictional bonds start rupturing.

In order to examine the linear stability of the flow we superimpose small motions on the existing ones and designate the perturbations with tildes. Varying Eqs. (1)-(3) and (6) we have accordingly

![Fig. 1. Viscous stress versus deformation rate in the case of shear flow with finite and infinite strength.](image-url)
The addition of the initial/boundary conditions of zero velocity perturbations completes the linearized IBVP.

Finalizing the general theoretical setting we notice that the classical Navier–Stokes theory is obtained from the above equations when the fluid strength goes to infinity: \( \phi \to \infty \).

3. Plane Couette flow

Let us consider stability of the Plane Couette flow – see also Drazin [2] and Schmid and Henningson [8] for review. We assume that there is no pressure gradient and the velocity field has form: \( \mathbf{v} = v_1(x_2)\mathbf{e}_1 \), where \( \mathbf{e}_1 \) is a unit base vector (Fig. 2).

In this case we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{D} & = \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x_2} (\mathbf{e}_1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_2 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1), \\
\tau & = \tau_{12} (\mathbf{e}_1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_2 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1), \\
\tau_{12} & = 2\eta \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x_2} \exp \left[ -\frac{2}{\phi^2} \left( \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 \right],
\end{align*}
\]

and the reduced momentum balance (1) takes form

\[
\frac{\partial \tau_{12}}{\partial x_2} = 0.
\]

Substituting (15) in (16) and adding boundary conditions \( v_1(0) = 0 \) and \( v_1(h) = v \) we find the following solution for velocity and stress fields:

\[
\begin{align*}
v_1 & = \frac{\nu x_2}{h}, \\
\sigma_{11} & = \sigma_{22} = \sigma_{33} = -p; \\
\sigma_{12} & = \sigma_{21} = \frac{\eta \nu}{h} \exp \left[ -\frac{2\nu^2}{h^2 \phi^2} \right].
\end{align*}
\]

Let us study the linear stability of the obtained solution. We assume that \( \bar{p} = 0 \) and \( \mathbf{v} = \bar{v}_1(x_2)\mathbf{e}_2 \). Then we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{D} & = \frac{\partial \bar{v}_1}{\partial x_2} (\mathbf{e}_1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_2 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1), \\
\bar{\sigma} & = \bar{\tau} = \beta \frac{\partial \bar{v}_1}{\partial x_2} (\mathbf{e}_1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_2 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1), \\
\beta & = 2\eta \left( 1 - \frac{4\nu^2}{\phi^2 h^2} \right) \exp \left[ -\frac{2\nu^2}{\phi^2 h^2} \right].
\end{align*}
\]

The momentum balance (10) reduces to

\[
\rho \frac{\partial \bar{v}_1}{\partial t} = \beta \frac{\partial^2 \bar{v}_1}{\partial x_2^2}.
\]
We further assume the following modes of the perturbed motion
\[ \tilde{v}_1(x_2, t) = \text{constant} \cdot e^{\omega t} \sin \left( \frac{2 \pi n}{h} x_2 \right), \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, \] (23)
where boundary conditions are obeyed: \( \tilde{v}_1(x_2 = 1, h) = 0 \); and \( \omega \) is a real constant.
Substituting (21) and (23) in (22) we find
\[ \omega = -8\eta \pi^2 n^2 \left( \frac{4 \rho^2}{\phi^2 h^2} \right) \exp \left[ -\frac{2 \rho^2}{\phi^2 h^2} \right]. \] (24)

The Couette flow is stable when \( \omega \) is negative and it loses stability when \( \omega = 0 \). The latter condition gives the critical velocity
\[ \nu_c = \frac{h \phi}{2} \] (25)
and the critical Reynolds number
\[ R_c = \frac{h \rho \nu_c}{\eta} = \frac{\rho h^2 \phi}{2 \eta}. \] (26)

It is interesting that in the case of the classical Navier–Stokes model where the fluid strength is infinite, \( \phi \to \infty \), the flow is always stable with respect to the lateral perturbations – see also Romanov [7], while in the case where strength is finite the flow can lose stability initiating the transition to turbulence.

4. Conclusion

In the present work we proposed a new possible explanation of the subcritical transition to turbulence. We assumed that the flow instability was triggered by the material instability of the fluid – the loss of internal friction. To describe it theoretically we induced a new constant of the fluid strength in the constitutive description of the fluid. The strength means the maximum 'equivalent' velocity gradient responsible for the viscosity/friction drop. We used the Navier–Stokes fluid model enhanced with the failure description for analysis of the plane Couette flow between two parallel plates. We found that the flow could lose its stability under the lateral velocity perturbations in the case where the fluid strength was finite. Our theoretical conclusions are in a good qualitative agreement with the experimental observations on the onset of the subcritical transition to turbulence reviewed recently in Mullin and Kerswell [5]. It is especially interesting that the localized turbulence patterns were observed in experiments, which could be theoretically interpreted as a local loss of internal friction due to the fluctuations in the fluid strength.
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